UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,291	11/04/2003	Bradley S. Berggren	(50035) 60056	5208
	7590 04/04/2007 NGELL PALMER & DOI	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 55874			LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	r
-1	ı	Ì
١,	•	ı

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/701,291	BERGGREN, BRADLEY S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Justin M. Larson	3782		

·	Justin M. Larson	3782	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a l ving replies: (1) an amend tice of Appeal (with appea	Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba Iment, affidavit, or other evider Il fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) V	the mailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding thortened statutory period for than three months after the	g amount of the fee. The appropr reply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	finally rejected claims.	-
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.135. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		f Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. Solution of the claim (s). To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:		•	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections un	der appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the clain	ns after entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the app	lication in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			·
. ,		M MWM NATHAN J. NEWHOU SUPERVISORY FATENT EX	SE
		SUPERVISORY FATENT EX	CAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Determining the patentability of the newly added limitation, "there being at least one channel formed in an upwardly facing surface of the front end portion..." would require further consideration of the prior art of record and/or additional search.